



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
NOV 25 2002
TECH CENTER 1600/2800

Applicant:) Group Art Unit: 1614
José Zayas-Rivera, et al.)
) Examiner: Kevin E. Weddington
Application No.: 10/016,726)
)
Filed: October 30, 2001)
) **RESPONSE**
For: MEDICAL APPLICATION OF)
OXIDIZED MONOTERPENES)
)
)
)

#4
Suggs
1-15-03

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 15, 2002, please
consider the following:

REMARKS

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

The Examiner rejected claims 1-34 as obvious over Chastain et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,308,873 ("Chastain B") in view of Chastain et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,110,832 ("Chastain A"). For the reasons set forth below, applicants respectfully overcome this rejection.

The basis for this rejection relies primarily upon a single sentence from Chastain B, which states: